IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 1225 of 2001

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE H.K.RATHOD

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

==========

MANISHKUMAR @ SPLENDER SOMABHAI PATEL

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MR HR PRAJAPATI for Petitioner

MR HL JANI AGP for Respondent Nos. 1-3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE H.K.RATHOD

Date of decision: 14/08/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. Heard Mr.H.R.Prajapati, learned advocate for the petitioner and Mr.H.L.Jani, learned AGP for the repondents.

2. In the persent petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the order of detention has been

challenged by the petitioner. When the matter has been taken up for hearing, Mr.H.L.Jani, leanrned AGP for the respondents has made a statement before this Court that the order of detention has been revoked by the State Government on 4/6/2001 and, therefore, the present petition has become infructuous. Thus, the statement made by the learned AGP for the respondents has not been disputed by the learned advocate for the petitioner. In view of this fact, without examining the legality and validity of the order of detention and without deciding the merits of the case. According to my opinion, the order of detention has been revoked by the State Government and, therefore, the present petition has become infructuous and the same is disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged.

[H. K. RATHOD,J.]

(vrpanchal)